

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,046	46 08/28/2003		Toru Takayama	0756-7193	7230
31780	7590	05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
ERIC ROE	BINSON		NGUYEN, THANH T		
PMB 955 21010 SOUTHBANK ST.		ST.		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
POTOMAC	FALLS,	VA 20165		2813	
				DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/650,046	TAKAYAMA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thanh T. Nguyen	2813	

•	LAGITITICI	ALC OTHE	ŀ
	Thanh T. Nguyen	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		=""	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date 	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	hart week a to the state of filtres as helder		
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		i L below),	•
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a _ non-allowable claim(s). 		·	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>36-57</u> .	·		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5,8,13,18,23,28,33 and 74-80</u> .			
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•	, , ,	•
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:		7 T M	
	-	was -	•
		Thanh T. Nguyen Examiner	

Art Unit: 2813

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 3, 74 raise new issues that would require further consideration/search.